

(This is a document for information purpose only, not for publication or distribution, directly or indirectly outside India. This is not a prospectus announcement and does not constitute an invitation or offer to acquire, purchase or subscribe to securities.)



LARSEN & TOUBRO INFOTECH LIMITED

Larsen & Toubro Infotech Limited (the "Company") was incorporated at Mumbai on December 23, 1996 as L&T Information Technology Limited, a public limited company under the Companies Act, 1956. The Company obtained the certificate of commencement of business on March 25, 1997. The name of the Company was subsequently changed to Larsen & Toubro Infotech Limited and the Registrar of Companies, Maharashtra at Mumbai (the "RoC"), issued a fresh certificate of incorporation on June 25, 2001. For further details, see "History and Certain Corporate Matters" beginning on page 148 of the Draft Red Herring Prospectus dated September 28, 2015 (the "DRHP") filed with the Securities and Exchange Board of India ("SEBI").

Registered Office: L&T House, Ballard Estate, Mumbai 400 001; **Tel:** (91 22) 6752 5656; **Fax:** (91 22) 6752 5893.
Corporate Office: L&T Technology Center, Gate No.5, Saki Vihar Road, Powai, Mumbai 400 072; **Tel:** (91 22) 6776 6776; **Fax:** (91 22) 2858 1130.

Contact Person: S. K. Bhatt, Company Secretary and Compliance Officer; **E-mail:** investor@lntinfotech.com; **Website:** www.lntinfotech.com; **Corporate Identity Number:** U72900MH1996PLC104693.

Promoter of the Company: Larsen & Toubro Limited

PUBLIC OFFER OF UP TO 17,500,000 EQUITY SHARES OF FACE VALUE OF ₹ 1 EACH (THE "EQUITY SHARES") OF LARSEN & TOUBRO INFOTECH LIMITED FOR CASH AT A PRICE OF ₹ [●] PER EQUITY SHARE (INCLUDING A SHARE PREMIUM OF ₹ [●] PER EQUITY SHARE) AGGREGATING UP TO ₹ [●] MILLION (THE "OFFER") THROUGH AN OFFER FOR SALE BY LARSEN & TOUBRO LIMITED (THE "PROMOTER" OR THE "SELLING SHAREHOLDER"). THE OFFER WOULD CONSTITUTE [●] % OF THE POST-OFFER PAID-UP EQUITY SHARE CAPITAL OF THE COMPANY.

ADDENDUM: NOTICE TO INVESTORS:

This is with reference to the DRHP. On page 325 of the DRHP (in the section "Outstanding Litigation and Material Developments" under the heading 'Litigation involving our Promoter - Litigation against our Promoter - Actions by regulatory/statutory authorities'), the Company had disclosed actions taken by the statutory and regulatory authorities against the Promoter which were under litigation as of date of the DRHP in a summary and indicative manner. For the purposes of providing complete details of all actions taken by statutory and regulatory authorities against the Promoter which are under litigation, set out below is the individual disclosure of all such actions taken against the Promoter which were under litigation and were outstanding as of December 18, 2015. The disclosure mentioned below shall be reflected in the red herring prospectus to be filed with the RoC (the "RHP") duly updated as of the date of the RHP in place of the current disclosure included on page 325 of the DRHP. Comments from the public are invited pursuant to Regulation 9(1) of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Issue of Capital and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2009.

"Actions taken by authorities for stamp duty"

1. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition before the Gujarat High Court against the order (the "Order") passed by the Collector and Additional Superintendent of Stamps, Gandhinagar (the "Collector") for a demand of stamp duty aggregating to ₹ 33.50 million against our Promoter. Our Promoter had applied for de-notification from SEZ of certain units situated at Vadodara and accordingly was required to obtain no objection certificates ("NOCs") from various authorities including the stamp duty department (the "Department") of Gandhinagar. Accordingly, our Promoter had filed an application with the Department for obtaining an NOC. However, the Collector, through the Order raised the aforesaid demand. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 33.50 million. The matter is currently pending.
2. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the demand made by the Sub-Registrar, Kodambakkam, Chennai (the "Sub-Registrar") before the Madras High Court relating to alleged deficiency of ₹ 44.92 million in the payment of stamp duty on a consortium agreement. Our Promoter had entered into a consortium agreement with Alstom to form a consortium namely L&T Alstom and paid a stamp duty of ₹ 1,600 for execution of the consortium agreement. The Sub-Registrar issued a show cause notice to our Promoter demanding payment of the aforesaid stamp duty. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 44.92 million. The matter is currently pending.
3. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the demand made by the Collector and District Registrar, Hyderabad (the "Registrar") before High Court of Hyderabad for the State of Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh (the "Hyderabad High Court") relating to alleged deficiency of ₹ 6,197.50 million in the payment of stamp duty paid on the engineering, procurement and construction agreement entered into with L&T Metro Rail (Hyderabad) Limited ("LTMRHL") and paid a stamp duty of ₹ 100 on the same. The Registrar issued a show cause notice (the "Notice") to our Promoter and LTMRHL demanding payment of deficit stamp duty amounting to ₹ 6,197.50 million. The Hyderabad High Court has suspended the Notice by way of an interim order. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 6,197.50 million. The matter is currently pending.

Actions taken by authorities for legal metrology: Our Promoter has filed an appeal against the action of the Inspector of Legal Metrology (the "Inspector") before the Controller, Legal Metrology, Mumbai, relating to certain non-compliances under the Legal Metrology Act, 2009 and the relevant rules framed there under, including non-stamping and non-verification of dispenser pumps of liquid petroleum gas by our Promoter. The matter is currently pending.

"Actions taken by municipal corporations"

1. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition before the Bombay High Court against the action taken by the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai of preventing our Promoter's trucks from entering the municipal limits of Mumbai. Our Promoter had entered into an agreement with Systems Application and Products in Data Processing Private Limited ("SAP India") for obtaining license to use proprietary software (the "Software") of SAP India. The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai had required our Promoter to furnish certain documents for the purposes of investigating the use of Software in Mumbai. Subsequently, the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai demanded an octroi of ₹ 2.36 million from our Promoter. Our Promoter raised objection to the same and paid an amount of ₹ 0.45 million under protest. Due to non-payment of the entire octroi amount, the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai

- had prevented our Promoter's trucks containing ready-mix cement from entering the municipal limits of Mumbai. Due to the short life of ready-mix cement, the consignment of cement had to be returned. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 2.36 million. The matter is currently pending.
2. The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai has filed an appeal against the order passed by the Small Causes Court, Mumbai before the Bombay High Court against the ratable value fixed by the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai. The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai had issued notices to our Promoter under the Mumbai Municipal Corporation Act, 1888 for fixation of the ratable value of amenity with respect to a parcel of land held by our Promoter. The Small Causes Court passed an order in favour of our Promoter, against which the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai filed the aforesaid appeal. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 1.12 million. The matter is currently pending.
 3. Our Promoter has filed a petition against the rejection of certain claims by the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai before the Bombay High Court. Our Promoter had filed several applications before Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai seeking the approval from the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai for commencement of various construction works. Our Promoter had paid an amount of ₹ 3.07 million under protest as directed by the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai and thereafter filed a claim before the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai for refund of certain charges. The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai rejected the claim of our Promoter. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 3.07 million. The matter is currently pending.
 4. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the demand (the "Demand") made by the Tahasildar, Ernakulam (the "Tahasildar") before the Kerala High Court (the "Court") relating to alleged damage to cables caused while laying down pipe lines by our Promoter. The Court has granted a stay order on the Demand. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 2.00 million. The matter is currently pending.
 5. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the demand by the Tahasildar, Rajgangpur (the "Tahasildar") before the Orissa High Court relating to industrial water tax to be paid by our Promoter. The Tahasildar issued notices to our Promoter demanding payment of industrial water tax (the "Demand") under the Orissa Irrigation (Amendment) Act, 1993 for lifting of water from River Sankh, Orissa. Subsequently, the ADM, Sundargarh, issued an order to discontinue the water supply line availed by our Promoter. Our Promoter has, accordingly, filed the aforesaid writ petition against the Demand. The Orissa High Court has passed an interim order directing the Tahasildar to refrain from discontinuing the water supply system subject to deposit of ₹ 2.40 million by our Promoter. Our Promoter deposited an amount of ₹ 2.40 million. Our Promoter filed representation before the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department for calculation of water tax. Subsequently, the Under Secretary Department, Orissa ordered the Engineer in Chief to finalise the calculations of the industrial water tax payments. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 2.40 million. The matter is currently pending.
 6. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the levy of property tax by the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai before the Bombay High Court. The Mumbai Metropolitan Region Development Authority (the "MMRDA") had let out a land to the consortium named L&T-SCOMI Consortium for the purpose of fabrication for the monorail project by L&T-SCOMI. The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai issued a notice to our Promoter demanding an amount of ₹ 380.00 million (the "Demand"). The Bombay High Court granted a stay order in favour of our Promoter for recovery of the Demand. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 380.00 million. The matter is currently pending.
 7. The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai has filed an appeal against the order passed by the Small Causes Court, Mumbai before the Bombay High Court in relation to assessment of property tax in favour of our Promoter. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 36.57 million. The matter is currently pending.
 8. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the recovery of amounts by the Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Andhra Pradesh (the "Authority") before the Hyderabad High Court with respect to the recovery proceedings initiated by the Authority for recovery of alleged excess payment on price variation clause pertaining to the water supply projects at Anantapur, on the basis of a vigilance report. The Hyderabad High Court has granted an interim stay on the recovery proceedings. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 837.80 million. The matter is currently pending.

Actions taken by pollution control boards: Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the demand (the "Demand") of water cess passed by the Orissa State Pollution Control Board (the "Board") before the Orissa High Court. The Board raised the Demand against our Promoter in respect of construction work at Kansbahal for a certain period. During such period, engineering industries were not included in the Schedule of the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Cess Act, 1977. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 0.08 million. The matter is currently pending.

Actions taken by authorities for labour

1. Our Promoter has filed a civil miscellaneous application against the order passed by the Deputy Director, Employee State Insurance Corporation (the "Deputy Director") before the Court of District Judge, Sundargarh. The Deputy Director had passed an order for contribution to be made by our Promoter towards employee state insurance under the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948. The Deputy Director had issued a show cause notice (the "Notice") to our Promoter in relation to alleged differential contribution made by our Promoter in respect of the contract labourers employed at Kansbahal works. Our Promoter filed its reply to the Notice stating that there was no differential contribution and requested the Deputy Director to cause an inspection of the contract labourers. Subsequently, the Deputy Director conducted an inspection of the contract labourers and passed an order demanding an amount of ₹ 0.13 million towards arrear of employee state insurance contribution to be made by our Promoter. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 0.13 million. The matter is currently pending.
2. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the action taken by the Labour Department, Chattisgarh before the Chattisgarh High Court in relation to recovery of labour welfare cess pertaining to the project for the Bharat Aluminium Company Limited. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 20.00 million. The matter is currently pending.

Actions taken by authorities for building and construction workers

1. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the action taken by the Labour Department, Vizag before the Hyderabad High Court in relation to demand of cess (the "Demand") with respect to the project constructed for the National Thermal Power Corporation, Simhadri under the Building & Other Construction Workers Cess Act, 1996 (the "BCW Act"). The Hyderabad High Court has granted a stay order in favour of our Promoter against recovery of the Demand. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 10.40 million. The matter is currently pending.
2. Our Promoter has filed a review petition before the Hyderabad High Court against the order of Hyderabad High Court allowing the appeal filed by State of Andhra Pradesh. Our Promoter had filed a writ petition against the demand of cess (the "Demand") made by Labour Department, Vizag before the Hyderabad High Court under the BCW Act. The Labour Department, Vizag had raised the Demand against our Promoter in relation to a project constructed for Steel Authority of India Limited, Vizag. The Hyderabad High Court allowed the aforesaid writ petition in favour of our Promoter against recovery of the Demand. The State of Andhra Pradesh had

filed an appeal against the aforesaid order of the Hyderabad High Court, which has been allowed by the Hyderabad High Court. Our Promoter, has accordingly, filed the aforesaid review petition. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 25.30 million. The matter is currently pending.

3. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the demand of cess (the "Demand") made by the Government of Chattisgarh under the BCW Act with respect to the steel plant of our Promoter located at Bhilai, before the Chattisgarh High Court. The Chattisgarh High Court has granted a stay order in favour of our Promoter against recovery of the Demand. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 16.12 million. The matter is currently pending.
4. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the Labour Department, Vizag before the Hyderabad High Court (the "Court") in relation to refund of the cess amount ordered by the Hyderabad High Court (the "Refund Order"). Our Promoter had filed an application before the Labour Department, Vizag for enforcement of the Refund Order. Upon failure of the Labour Department, Vizag in refunding the cess amount, our Promoter has filed the aforesaid fresh writ petition. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 14.10 million. The matter is currently pending.
5. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the demand made by the Labour Department, Orissa before the Orissa High Court in relation to demand of 1% of total contract value (the "Demand") made under the BCW Act in respect of contract awarded by Sterlite Energy Limited to our Promoter for certain railway siding works. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 24.38 million. The matter is currently pending.
6. Our Promoter has filed four writ petitions against the demand of cess (the "Demand") made by the Public Health Engineering Department, Barmer before the Rajasthan High Court under the BCW Act for the Barmer project (SPR I and SPR II), Jodhpur undertaken by our Promoter. The Rajasthan High Court has granted conditional stay on the Demand and has required the payment of 1% cess to be made equally by our Promoter and the Government of Rajasthan. The matter is currently pending.
7. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the demand of cess and recovery of arrears (the "Demand") made by the Joint Commissioner of Labour and Assessing Officer, Government of Telangana (the "Joint Commissioner") before the Hyderabad High Court under the BCW Act with respect to the metro rail project. The Hyderabad High Court has granted a stay order in favour of our Promoter against recovery of the Demand. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 1,400 million. The matter is currently pending.
8. Our Promoter has filed an appeal against the order passed by the Directorate General of Inspection (the "Directorate") before the Secretary, Labour Department, Government of India imposing penalty for contravention of certain provisions under the BCW Act with respect to Jharkhand road project. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 0.01 million. The matter is currently pending.

Actions taken by authorities for mines and minerals

1. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the demand of royalty (the "Demand") made by the Tahsildar cum certificate officer, Sundergadhi (the "Authority") before the Orissa High Court. The Authority had raised the Demand on alleged royalty to be paid on minor minerals under the Orissa Public Demand Recovery Act, 1963. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 1.54 million. The matter is currently pending.
2. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the demand made by the Tahsildar (the "Authority") before the Jharkhand High Court for market price and interest on certain minerals with respect to Jharkhand road project. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 3.88 million. The matter is currently pending.
3. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against a notification passed by the Sub-divisional Land and Land Reforms Officer (the "Officer") before the Calcutta High Court relating to levy of cess (the "Notification"). The Officer had levied cess and raised a demand of royalty (the "Demand") under the Notification on the basis of treatment of ordinary soil under minor mineral. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 0.40 million. The matter is currently pending.
4. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the fees demanded by the Government of Tamil Nadu (the "Authority") before the Madras High Court relating to the cost of mineral and seigniorage in relation to Krishnagiri road project. The amount involved in the matter is ₹ 70.50 million and has been paid by our Promoter. The matter is currently pending.

Actions taken by authorities for land and land revenue

1. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the acquisition of land (the "Acquisition") by the Government of Puducherry (the "Authority") before Madras High Court under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 with respect to of approximately 17 acres of land owned by our Promoter. The Madras High Court has granted a stay order in respect of the Acquisition. The matter is currently pending.
2. Our Promoter has filed a writ petition against the land reforms proceedings (the "Proceedings") by the Government of Puducherry (the "Government") before the Madras High Court under the Pondicherry Land Reforms (Fixing of Ceiling on Land) Act, 1973. The Government had issued summons against our Promoter and treated the land owned by our Promoter as agricultural land instead of treating the lands as falling under the industrial zone. The Madras High Court granted a stay order against the Proceedings. The matter is currently pending.

Note: This notice should be read in conjunction with the DRHP. The information in this notice supplements the DRHP. Capitalised terms used and not defined herein shall have the respective meanings assigned to them in the DRHP.

Date: December 30, 2015

Place: Mumbai

For Larsen & Toubro Infotech Limited
On behalf of the Board of Directors

Sd/-
Company Secretary and Compliance Officer

LARSEN & TOUBRO INFOTECH LIMITED is proposing, subject to receipt or requisite approvals, market conditions and other considerations, to make an initial public offering of its equity shares and has filed the DRHP with the Securities and Exchange Board of India. The DRHP is available on the website of the SEBI at www.sebi.gov.in as well as on the websites of the Lead Manager(s), <http://www.online.citibank.co.in/rhtm/citigroupglobalscreen1.htm>, <http://investmentbank.kotak.com/>, <http://www.barclays.in/investment-banking/index.html> and <http://www.icicisecurities.com/>, respectively. Investors should note that investment in equity shares involves a high degree of risk and for details relating to such risk, see "Risk Factors" beginning on page 19 of the DRHP. Potential investors should not rely on the DRHP for any investment decision. This announcement is not for publication or distribution, directly or indirectly, in or into the United States (including its territories and possessions, any state of the United States and the District of Columbia). This announcement is not an offer of securities for sale into the United States, Canada or Japan. The securities referred to herein have not been and will not be registered under the U.S. Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and may not be offered or sold in the United States, except pursuant to an applicable exemption from registration. No public offering of securities is being made in the United States.